

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/620,948 07/16/2003 Hiroshi Kouno 8578 O524-0132.01 03/16/2006 **EXAMINER** Edward D. Manzo , MARKOFF, ALEXANDER Cook, Alex, McFarron, Manzo, PAPER NUMBER Cummings & Mehler, Ltd. 200 West Adams St., Ste. 2850 1746 Chicago, IL 60606 DATE MAILED: 03/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/620,948	KOUNO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alexander Markoff	1746
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reposed will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed CHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	' February 2006.	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The section is FINAL .	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) 19-28 is/are pending in the applicat	tion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		·
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19-28</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a	ccepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr		eceived in this National Stage
application from the International Bure	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not re	∍ceived.
Attachment(s)	_	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/620,948 Page 2

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/27/06 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 19-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are indefinite because it is not what structure is required. The claims recite intended use of the claimed parts of the apparatus, but fail to provide the structure required or structural cooperation between the recited parts.

It is noted that the claims are not written as "means-plus-function".

Claims 19, 21(19), 22(19) and 24-28(19) are also indefinite because it is not clear what is referenced as "speed difference on substrate".

Application/Control Number: 10/620,948 Page 3

Art Unit: 1746

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

5. Claims 19-22 and 24-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hillman (US Patent No 6,059,888)

Hillman teaches an apparatus as claimed. See entire document, especially Figures 1, 3 and 11 and the related description.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 7. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hillman.

 Hillman teaches an apparatus as claimed except for the specific recitation of a sensor to determine the presence of the substrate.

The semiconductor wafer processing technology is highly automated and precise technology it would have been obvious to an ordinary artisan at the time the invention was made to control all the processing steps of the substrate treatment and thereby it would have been obvious to an ordinary artisan at the time the invention was made to provide sensor in the apparatus of Hillman to enable the automation of the production.

It has been held that broadly providing a mechanical or automatic means to replace manual activity, which has accomplished the same result, involves only routine skill in the art. In re Venner, 120 USPQ 192.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to newly submitted claims 19-28 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US Patent 6,290,780 is cited to show the state of the prior art with respect to cleaning substrates.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Markoff whose telephone number is 571-272-1304. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on 571-272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1746

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alexander Markoff Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746

AM

ALEXANDER MARKOFF PRIMARY EXAMINER